OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER SKAGIT COUNTY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

In re: 8) Cause Nos.: PL16-0097, PL16-Application for Mining Special Use 0098, PL22-0142 Permit and Forest Practices Permit by 9 Concrete Nor'West/Miles Sand and Gravel, 10 PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM and 11 Appeal of Mitigated Determination of 12 Significance by Central Samish Valley Neighbors 13 Transcription Date: May 10th, 2024 14 Present: Andrew Reeves, Mona Kellogg, Kyle Loring, Jason D'Avignon, Tom 15 Ehrlichman, Bill Lynn, Jessica Hoyer, Jennifer Aven, Ross Tilghman 16 Was that done, did I hear that ... REEVES: 17 KELLOGG: Yes. 18 We're recording? REEVES: 19 KELLOGG: Yes. 20 Okay. Uh, yep. There it says we're going. Okay. Get my gavel out, REEVES: 21 make it official. And, good morning. I'm going to call this session of the 22 Skagit County Hearing Examiner back to order. For the record, today is 23 Friday, September 23^{rd} , 2022, at 9:00 a.m. We are on, I believe, Day 7 now of, 24 uh, this matter, which is involving a request by Miles Sand and Gravel for a 25 Special Use Permit. As well as an Appeal by the Central Samish Valley Janet Williamson PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM janetwilliamson78@gmail.com

Mount Vernon, WA 98273

(360) 708-5304

CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142

Page 1

Neighbors of the mitigated determination of non-significance that was issued
for this Proposal. And these are Application Numbers PL16-0097 and PL16-0098.
Uh, my name is Andrew Reeves. I'm the Hearing Examiner with Sound Law Center,
the County has selected to hold certain hearings like this one. And I will be
collecting evidence in the form of exhibits and testimony and ultimately
issuing a decision. Uh, again, we're on Day 7 so I think the parties are well
aware, hopefully, of, uh, what is happening at this point. And when we
concluded, when we concluded on Day 6, I believe we were, uh, the next step
was going to be to turn to Tom Ehrlichman, uh, who has, uh, who is an
attorney representing a group of adjacent property owners. And he had, uh, a
few witnesses he was going to, uh, bring to testify. But, before we do that,
why don't we do a quick round robin and check in with our Attorneys. I had
given them some homework, which I believe they accomplished. Uh, I,
ultimately opted, uh, not to further muddy the waters by sending additional
information myself. But, uh, why don't I start with Kyle Loring and see, uh,
if he feels like he had a handle on his Exhibits and also if he has anything
further he wanted to discuss before we get moving.
LORING: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. Uh, I do feel like I have a handle on
the Exhibits and, uh, my records were consistent with what I've seen from
others. So, thank you for that. Uh, when you mentioned homework, uh, I became
anxious a little bit. Uh, I don't think we saw an email with the questions, I
know you had talked last time about having some questions. Yeah. So, so, I
don't think we've discussed that, but I'm sure we'll touch on that later on
l today.

REEVES: That, that was the homework I gave myself, that I ultimately decided not to over-complicate things. I, I will have a few questions, but having done some research and thought things through myself, I, I think I was, I think I ultimately decided rather than send a list of questions or cases, it would be better to just have a chat at the end with the Attorneys and, and get their thoughts on a few things. So, uh, you guys I know were busy enough as is. So, with that, I'll go next to Jason, uh, D'Avignon on behalf of the County, uh, same, same set of questions there, Mr. D'Avignon. D'AVIGNON: Um, I don't have really anything new. I think the only outstanding as to the Exhibits, um, was Mr. Lynn had a few that I remember we discussed. Uh, they, we saw pictures and they don't appear to have numbers. Um, I guess, while I have a moment, my proposal would we just number them starting at the end, going through, I think that would work. Sure. And, and I, you know, there's, uh, a, uh, sort of email exchange between all the Attorneys and the Hearing Examiner's Office and I believe you had produced a sort of table, uh, and, and I would be fine using that table and just adding onto the numbers, um, if everyone is okay with that, ultimately. Um, does that make sense to you, at least? D'AVIGNON: Uh, that makes sense to me. My only note on the table that I made is, um, Mr. Ehrlichman, he filed kind of a, his understanding today and mine doesn't quite match up perfectly. So, I would, for that section, deter to his filing. REEVES: Okay. Great. And speaking of Mr. Ehrlichman, why don't we turn to Attorney Tom Ehrlichman next?

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 EHRLICHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. Uh, nothing new on our end. I guess, um,
- 2 | we filed a corrected Exhibit List that I think does bring out list into
- 3 | conformity with what Jason had. Our set of Exhibits, I believe are Exhibit
- 4 | 49, with sub exhibit numbers, thank you.
- 5 | REEVES: Okay. Great. Thank you. And we'll come back to you in just a sec.
- 6 | And, finally, uh, Bill Lynn on behalf of the Applicant?
- 7 | LYNN: Uh, I don't have anything to add. I think we're, uh, in accord on
- 8 | the Exhibits that were admitted so far. It's just a matter of re-, or of
- 9 | numbering those that we have not yet assigned a number.
- 10 | REEVES: Okay. Um, so I guess, sorry, and Mr. Ehrlichman, let me, let's
- 11 | see, I have a couple, I'm sorry, I'm looking to see if I have a different
- 12 | table than the one from Mr. D'Avignon. Did you send that separately, Mr.
- 13 ||Ehrlichman?
- 14 | EHRLICHMAN: Sorry. Uh, this morning I sent a corrected table, uh, that just
- 15 describes our Exhibits. And they do match up, I believe, with Mr.
- 16 D'Avignon's, but, uh, we can get into that more later. Um, it is our, our set
- 17 | is Exhibit 49 and we'll add to that, um, during our presentation today.
- 18 | REEVES: Okay.
- 19 D'AVIGNON: I would add the only difference is mine is missing, my table is
- 20 | missing just S1, which is the Mcleod letter. That, at least that's what Mr.
- 21 | Ehrlichman says. And then, his table also includes numbered versions of the
- 22 one he intends to introduce today.
- 23 REEVES: Got it. Okay. Um, I don't believe I've seen that yet, there's a,
- 24 | oh, wait, now, it's in my email. I do have it, I haven't looked at it yet,
- 25 | so, I will look at that during the break and, uh, we can circle back, at that

1 point, uh, and before we conclude, make sure we've got numbers for everything would be my plan. And so, Mr. Lynn, did that, just wanted to make sure I 2 didn't interrupt you there, was that in accordance... 3 LYNN: No. 4 5 REEVES: And we're ready to go otherwise? 6 LYNN: Yes. 7 Okay. Uh, with that, then, Mr. Ehrlichman, I believe the floor REEVES: will be yours. And do you have, can you just give us a quick sense of your, 8 your plan of attack for the day? 9 10 EHRLICHMAN: Certainly. Thank you, Mr. Examiner. Um, our client is Cougar Peak LLC and the Mcleod family, the caretakers on that property. And, uh, today, 11 12 uh, we will be presenting our case through witnesses. We will have four 13 witnesses today. Um, we did have an early witness that you permitted, uh, due 14 to scheduling. Our first witness was Neil Mcleod, so he's already testified. 15 And our four witnesses today will be, uh, Jessica Hoyer, uh, a Grip Road resident. And we also have, uh, Jennifer Avon, a Grip Road resident, uh, Ross 16 17 Tilghman, who will be an Expert witness of transportation planner and 18 finally, uh, Wallace Groda, who is also a, a Grip Road, uh, landowner. 19 Okay. And who would you like to start with today? 20 EHRLICHMAN: Well, I'd like to start with, um, Jessica Hoyer. But I would 21 first like to introduce our, what we were proposing as Exhibit 49 S9, which 22 is an email from the Sedro Woolley School District containing the bus 23 schedule, um, that does encompass Grip Road. I'd like to introduce that

Exhibit, I, uh, provided to that the parties by email, uh, yesterday.

25

- 1 | REEVES: Okay. Um, any objection, uh, to including this by other parties?
- 2 | If so, hit the raise hand feature, I suppose. Okay. No objections it looks
- 3 | like, so I'll go ahead and admit that.
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: Thank you. So, I'd like to call Jessica Hoyer, please.
- 5 | REEVES: And hopefully there, Jessica Hoyer, are you there?
- 6 | EHRLICHMAN: I see her present, but, uh, maybe the mute button needs to be
- 7 | toggled there.
- 8 | REEVES: Yeah. You might unmute yourself, Jessica Hoyer, on the [pause]
- 9 | Jessica Hoyer, we're hoping to hear from you. You might need to hit the
- 10 | unmute on the bottom, uh, bottom of the Teams App.
- 11 | HOYER: Thank you.
- 12 | EHRLICHMAN: Mr. Examiner, I just called Ms. Hoyer. She's, uh, a Special Ed
- 13 | teacher at a public school. She's dealing with a couple of emergencies and
- 14 asked to testify in a few moments. So, if...
- 15 | REEVES: Okay. Why don't we start with someone else, then, or...
- 16 | EHRLICHMAN: Thank you. Yes, if we could call Jennifer Aven.
- 17 | REEVES: Okay. And Jennifer Aven. There we are. And I'll swear you in. Do
- 18 | you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the testimony you give here today?
- 19 | AVEN: I do.
- 20 | REEVES: And if you could state and spell your name for the record, the
- 21 | audio?
- 22 AVEN: Jennifer Aven, J-e-n-n-i-f-e-r, Aven, A-v-e-n.
- 23 | REEVES: Great. Thank you. Go ahead, um, Mr. Ehrlichman.
- 24 | EHRLICHMAN: Good morning, Ms. Aven.
- 25 AVEN: Hello.

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM janet
CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Mc
Page 6

- 1 | EHRLICHMAN: Um, could you give us your address on Grip Road?
- 2 AVEN: Uh, I'm actually on 6478 Lillian Lane, it's kind of a private
- 3 | road that's right off of Grip.
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: Thank you. And, um, what is your profession?
- 5 | AVEN: Uh, we have a family-owned construc- [inaudible] the office work,
- 6 | the billing, the payroll and everything from our home office here on Lillian.
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: Did, did you say that you have a family-owned construction
- 8 | company and you do, um, bookkeeping and accounting for them?
- 9 AVEN: Yes.
- 10 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Thank you. And, um, do you have school-aged children?
- 11 | AVEN: Sorry, see my cameras. I [inaudible] sorry, he just turned 14
- 12 | last week, 14 year old son.
- 13 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. You were breaking up a little bit there. Uh, so you have a
- 14 | 14 year old son that attends school?
- 15 AVEN: Yes, I do.
- 16 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And then, uh, you had also a daughter, I believe, that went
- 17 | through the school system from that, uh, residence, is that correct?
- 18 AVEN: I did, yes. She is an adult now and serves in the Navy.
- 19 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Thank you. Um, tell us a little bit about your son's
- 20 | transport to and from school in the mornings, in the afternoons, if you
- 21 | would?
- 22 AVEN: Uh, yes, he takes the school bus in the mornings, uh, the bus
- 23 comes around 6:50 a.m. So, he stands right on that 90 degree corner on Grip
- 24 | Road. And, um, most afternoons I end up picking him up because he does a lot
- 25 of after-school activities like cross-country and, and that sort of thing.

- 1 EHRLICHMAN: Oh, okay. Thank you. And how long have you lived at this
- residence? 2
- 3 Uh, over 15 years now.
- EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Um, are you familiar with the transport of school-aged 4
- 5 school on Grip Road generally...
- 6 AVEN: Um...
- 7 EHRLICHMAN: Involving other families?
- Yes. As a parent, we've been having kids on buses or driving them 8
- back and forth since 2007. 9
- 10 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And so, uh, the typical hours for school buses are, uh, a
- 11 morning bus, correct, for the high school and middle school students?
- 12 AVEN: Uh, yes, there's the morning bus that comes by our corner at
- 13 6:50. And then about two hours later, there's an elementary school bus. And
- 14 then in the afternoon, there's also two buses for each, each group.
- EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Uh, do you happen to know what time the elementary school 15
- bus comes by in the morning? 16
- 17 AVEN: In the morning, it's about two hours after, so probably about
- 18 8:00, 8:50.

Page 8

- 19 EHRLICHMAN: 8:50? Okay. Great.
- 20 AVEN: Yes.
- 21 EHRLICHMAN: And then the afternoon buses, can you, uh, just gives us a rough
- questimate of the timeframes on those? 22
- 23 AVEN: Yeah. That is about 3:00 and my, my bus hasn't ridden the bus this year
- 24 for the afternoon, but usually around 3:00 and the elementary school gets out
- 25 at 3:30 so it probably hits here about 4:00 or 4:45.

1 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Great. Thank you for that. Um, as I understand it, the school bus comes down from the direction of Sedro Woolley in the morning and 2 goes down Grip Road, past your, uh, stop, is that correct? 3 Yes. It goes passed Lillian Lane, down that S-curve we've talking 4 5 about, turns around at the bottom of that S-curve and then comes back up the 6 hill, and that's where he, they pick up, like, my son and the other kids at 7 that stop, and then returns into town. EHRLICHMAN: So, let me make sure I understand it. So, the bus goes down the 8 hill on Grip Road, beyond your house, heading towards Prairie Road, correct? 9 10 AVEN: Correct. EHRLICHMAN: And it goes down through the S-curves? 11 AVEN: 12 Yes. 13 EHRLICHMAN: And those are located to the west of the proposed mine entrance, 14 is that correct? AVEN: 15 Yes. EHRLICHMAN: Okay. So the bus is going downhill, uh, through those S-curves to 16 17 a turnaround you said? 18 Yes. They usually use one of the, the houses has kind of a bigger 19 driveway area and so it turns around in their driveway. 20 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Thank you. And, um, is the, uh, when the bus, well, let me 21 ask it this way, what, what is the, approximating, what is the highest number 22 of students on the bus that you've seen when it comes to your location, 23 either morning or afternoon? So, get a picture of the, sort of how populated

25

24

is the bus at its maximum?

- 1 AVEN: Um, by the time it's at our house, it's, it's probably mostly
- 2 | full. I mean, there's, there's a kid in just about every window that I can
- 3 | see, so, um, and once it hits our, our stop, it continues into town and gets
- 4 | to the middle school within, like, 15 minutes. So, we're, we're towards the
- 5 | end of the route.
- 6 | EHRLICHMAN: So, is the, would you say the bus is a third full, a half full,
- 7 | three-quarters full?
- 8 AVEN: Probably about three-quarters.
- 9 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Thank you. So, you said that when you've observed that,
- 10 | it's the bus coming from the west and the Prairie Road direction, on Grip
- 11 | Road, to your stop, is that correct?
- 12 AVEN: Yes.
- 13 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. So, the, that means that the bus has picked up children to
- 14 | the west of you, along, uh, Grip Road, also Prairie Road?
- 15 | AVEN: Uh, my-, our bus doesn't go onto Prairie, ours turns around on
- 16 || Grip.
- 17 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. So, that full, three-quarters full bus is, you've observed,
- 18 | is, uh, children that are, um, traveling to school, to and from school on
- 19 | Grip Road?
- 20 AVEN: Correct.
- 21 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Thank you for that. Um, you had an incident, correct,
- 22 | involving, uh, an encounter with a gravel truck on Grip Road?
- 23 AVEN: Yes, I did. Last September.
- 24 | EHRLICHMAN: I'm going to ask you some questions about that. But, first, Mr.
- 25 | Examiner, I want to, for the record, state that Ms. Aven, uh, testified

1 earlier in the public hearing portion of the Hearing, but the testimony you're about to hear was not part of that testimony. 2 And this is challenging to the effect that ultimately, if Ms. 3 Aven had testified earlier in the public hearing, it normally would not, we 4 5 would not hear again, uh, but I also don't want to spend an hour debating on 6 the scope of what you've been allowed to do through the course of the 7 Hearing. So if we can just move through and, uh, we can get objections from other Attorneys as necessarily. Why don't we do that? 8 EHRLICHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. Ms. Aven, do you want to describe for us 9 10 the encounter you had with the gravel truck, uh, last September? 11 AVEN: Sure. So, I was coming home from Woolley in town, headed west, I was getting my east and west mixed up, headed west on Grip, uh, with my son. 12 13 And we were at the, the 90 degree corner that turns into Lillian Lane. So, 14 it's a really hard corner to see around because of all of the brush and everything that's on the inside of that corner, so you kind of have to pull, 15 like, halfway around and look and see. And a gravel truck was coming up the 16 hill and he crossed into my lane, which I sort of expected because they 17 18 always struggle with those corners. But he came very far into my lane. And I 19 had to kind of punch the gas and pull hard off to the right and go out into 20 the bushes behind the bank of mailboxes to get out of his way.

23 AVEN: Yes. It was after cross-country practice.

21

22

24

25

that happened?

EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And what was your reaction, what was your son's reaction when you took that evasive maneuver?

EHRLICHMAN: You said you were transporting your son home from school when

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 11

Janet Williamson
janetwilliamson78@gmail.com
Mount Vernon, WA 98273
(360)708-5304

- AVEN: Well, obviously, we were shocked and scared and surprised and it

 was, you know, we thought we were going to get smashed by a truck, so, yeah,
- 3 || it was, it was pretty scary.
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: And, uh, did you file a police report at that time?
- 5 AVEN: I did not. Um, because there was no actual damage and we were
- 6 | safe. I wasn't able to get a license plate number or anything like that. I
- 7 | asked around on social media because I had seen the truck around a lot that
- 8 week, to see if anybody knew who the driver was. Um, but we couldn't get any
- 9 answers on, on it, so...
- 10 | EHRLICHMAN: You say you saw the truck a lot that week, what, what do you mean
- 11 | by that?
- 12 AVEN: Uh, it had been, because I, I, since it's a home office, I'm on
- 13 | these roads probably four to six times a day, running kids and stuff back and
- 14 | forth. And I had just crossed it many times on many of the corners, back and
- 15 | forth, back and forth. It was hauling, the whole length put together, it was
- 16 | hauling a bunch of gravel out of the Proctor Pit on Brookings Road. And, uh,
- 17 | yeah, so, I just had been, it had been around a lot that week.
- 18 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Um, that was in September and since September, uh, do you
- 19 | know whether Miles Sand and Gravel has purchased the Proc-, Proctor Pit?
- 20 | AVEN: Uh, that's my understanding, I don't, I haven't actually seen,
- 21 | like, a written thing about it, but that's, that's what I hear.
- 22 | EHRLICHMAN: And does the Proctor pit, uh, uh...
- 23 | LYNN: Examiner, I'm going to object, this, she's already said she can't
- 24 || identify the truck or the driver and now we're just getting into speculation
- 25 | about whose truck it might have been. I think is...

- 1 | REEVES: I...
- 2 | LYNN: Well outside bounds...
- 3 | REEVES: I'll sustain the objection to the extent that A) we heard from
- 4 | this witness once already earlier in the Hearing and, B) my understanding was
- 5 | we were going to focus specifically on Grip Road, so, let's, let's move
- 6 | forward, thank you, uh, Mr. Ehrlichman.
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. That, that, actually, was the question I
- 8 | was just asking was, um, does the Proctor pit access Grip Road, is that how
- 9 | gravel goes in and out of the Proctor pit, to your knowledge?
- 10 AVEN: Yes, it is.
- 11 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Um, now, you had an encounter with a gravel truck where it
- 12 | crossed over the line and you had to take evasive action. H-, are you aware
- 13 | of any other, uh, families on Grip Road that have, uh, had encounters of that
- 14 | kind where they've had to maneuver in response to, uh, vehicle crossovers?
- 15 AVEN: Uh, yeah. I...
- 16 | LYNN: I'm going to object again. This is really far afield. We're
- 17 | asking about her experience with other neighbors. If the other neighbors want
- 18 | to testify, they can. But I don't, I mean, this is, it's hearsay and it's
- 19 | just not relevant.
- 20 | REEVES: I'm going to sustain on both the hearsay grounds and the
- 21 | relevancy grounds and further, there was an opportunity for testimony, uh, we
- 22 | had two days of it at the outset of this Hearing. So, uh, I'll sustain that
- 23 | objection. Go ahead and move on, Mr. Ehrlichman.
- 24 | EHRLICHMAN: At this time, Mr. Examiner, I guess I'd like to introduce our
- 25 | Exhibit 49 S10, copies been provided. Uh, it's a letter that is in the public

- 1 record submitted by, uh, folks on Grip Road. I think it's important to, uh,
- 2 pull that out of the mass Exhibit number, uh, because it's germane to, uh,
- 3 | the subject matter of our presentation here.
- 4 | REEVES: S-, sorry, you just are asking that the Exhibit already accepted
- 5 | earlier with a different exhibit number be sort of taken out and given a
- 6 | specific number right now?
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: Yes. We've, we've done that in other cases...
- 8 | REEVES: Sure.
- 9 | EHRLICHMAN: During this proceeding.
- 10 REEVES: S10, that's fine. But, to be clear, I, you know, I, I don't think
- 11 | we need to spend time eliciting testimony about the experience of others. But
- 12 | go ahead, Mr. Ehrlichman.
- 13 | EHRLICHMAN: Yeah. One of the things, Mr. Examiner, we've been trying to do
- 14 | here out of respect for the Applicant, uh, and the County is to present you a
- 15 | picture here and we certainly could have lined up, you know, asked to have
- 16 | ten different witnesses testifying to basically the same thing. We thought it
- 17 | was efficient, but you've sustained the object-, objection, uh, based on
- 18 | hearsay. So, we'll move forward. Suffice to say that the record is full of,
- 19 of comments from other residents on Grip Road.
- 20 | REEVES: Okay.
- 21 | EHRLICHMAN: Um, let me take a quick look at my notes here, see if there's any
- 22 other, uh, questions I had for Ms. Aven. So, Ms. Aven, uh, are you concerned
- 23 about the safety of school children generally on Grip Road traveling to and
- 24 | from school?

1 AVEN: Yes. Very much. I mean, there's the buses, there's lots of families, there's stops at the end of a lot of driveways that the kids stand 2 right on the edge. It's, it's really concerning. 3 EHRLICHMAN: And in addition to school buses, uh, children travel to and from 4 5 school, as you've testified, by private car as well? 6 AVEN: Yes. Many do. 7 EHRLICHMAN: And some of those children actually are driving themselves once they become of age, whether a learner's permit or a driver's license, 8 correct? 9 10 AVEN: Yes. 11 EHRLICHMAN: And do you anticipate that your child will, at some point, be 12 driving himself on that road? Yeah. In about 18 months he'll have is learner's permit so we'll 13 14 be back and forth many times on this road. EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Now, if you heard that there was only one, um, accident 15 reported per year on Grip Road for the past five years, would that surprise 16 17 you? 18 AVEN: It, it's surprising that that's all that's reported because 19 people have a lot of accidents out here, like my incident where it doesn't 20 get reported, if there's no actual injuries. It takes, the Sheriff told us it 21 takes about 45 minutes for them to get here, depending on where they are in the County. So, a lot of times, if nobody gets hurt, we just don't call. A 22 23 teenager actually ran into a bunch of our mailboxes last year, but came,

knocked on our door, he and his dad apologized and fixed them and we went

2.4

1 about our way because it's just the country and that's what we do, so, I mean, I know there's incidents that don't get reported. 2 EHRLICHMAN: And at the 90-degree turn that you've described, there are 3 actually two 90-degree turns in that portion of the Grip Road, uh, segment, 4 5 isn't that correct? It's, there's one at, um, Lillian Lane and then further to the north and east? 6 7 AVEN: Yes. EHRLICHMAN: And then as you travel further east on Grip Road, um, don't you 8 also encounter a, a sharp turn at the railroad tracks? 9 10 AVEN: Yes. It's kind of another S-curve around through it. EHRLICHMAN: Do you have concerns about a 74-foot long, uh, truck and pup 11 12 trailer being able to make those turns without encroaching on the opposite 13 lane? 14 Yes, I do. I drive through that, again, like, four to six times a day. And if I'm following anything that's large, whether it's a trailer or a 15 truck or a farm equipment, they don't, they don't make the turn, they all go 16 over the line. 17 18 EHRLICHMAN: And you've listened to portions of this public hearing where you, 19 where the traffic expert for the Applicant, uh, has testified? 20 Yes. I've listened to the whole thing. AVEN: 21 EHRLICHMAN: Can you put this in real terms for the Hearing Examiner, uh, 22 there's the sort of technical traffic analysis that's been done, that is in

the traffic studies, but what does, what does this mean to you in, in real

25

23

2.4

terms as a family living on Grip Road with children?

```
1
    AVEN:
                I don't understand how they can come to the conclusion that
    they're saying. Um, all of my experiences, anything that's not just your
 2
    typical size car crosses the line on these 90-degree turns and over the
 3
    railroad tracks. I just, I, I can't gather how they can say that it doesn't.
 4
 5
    EHRLICHMAN: Can, can you, uh, say that again, um, you, you've heard the
 6
    testimony and you, you're having trouble understanding what?
 7
                How they can say that trucks that large are not going to come
    AVEN:
    into our lane or have room to, to not hit us, ultimately.
 8
    EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh.
 9
10
    AVEN:
                Uh, I can only see us having to be evasive around the trucks.
11
    EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh. And is there room, uh, on Grip Road to, to do that, if
    it's necessary to, to protect yourselves?
12
13
                Not everywhere. In some places, but not all of them.
14
    EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh. And are you concerned about your, let, let me back up,
15
    again, w-, what does this mean to you as a mother and a parent?
                I, I feel like she's asked and answered that and, and I think I
16
    understand that Ms. Aven has concerns. I think she's testified earlier. I
17
18
    think that there's a certain level of, you know, it's clear, I think. I'm not
19
    trying, you know, I've got to try to move us forward in an efficient manner
20
    and we're hoping to finish today, Mr. Ehrlichman. Is, how many more questions
21
    specific to this topic do you expect with this witness?
    EHRLICHMAN: I'd like to, I'd like to see if you would allow the witness to
22
23
    answer that question.
```

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 17

2.4

25

Please answer the question, Ms. Aven.

- 1 AVEN: I am very, very concerned about having all of these trucks on the
- 2 || road.
- 3 | REEVES: Okay. Thank you.
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: I have nothing further. Thank you.
- 5 | REEVES: Okay. Mr. Loring, any questions of this witness?
- 6 LORING: No, Mr. Examiner, I don't have any questions. Thank you.
- 7 | REEVES: Okay. Mr. D'Avignon?
- 8 | D'AVIGNON: Uh, no questions, Mr. Examiner.
- 9 | REEVES: And Mr. Lynn?
- 10 | LYNN: No questions.
- 11 | REEVES: Great. Thank you, Ms. Aven.
- 12 AVEN: Thank you.
- 13 | REEVES: Okay. Mr. Ehrlichman, your next witness?
- 14 EHRLICHMAN: I'd like to see if Jessica Hoyer might be on now and available to
- 15 | testify.
- 16 | REEVES: I saw her pop up a minute ago.
- 17 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Jessica, if you're there, uh, can you unmute and let us
- 18 | know that you're ready?
- 19 HOYER: I'm trying.
- 20 | EHRLICHMAN: You, there you are.
- 21 | HOYER: You hear me?
- 22 | EHRLICHMAN: Yes.
- 23 | HOYER: Okay.
- 24 | REEVES: Hi, Ms. Hoyer, I'll swear you in. Do you swear or affirm to tell
- 25 | the truth in the testimony you give here today?

Janet Williamson janetwilliamson78@gmail.com Mount Vernon, WA 98273 (360)708-5304

- 1 HOYER: I do.
- 2 | REEVES: And could you state and spell your name?
- 3 HOYER: Uh, my name is Jessica Hoyer. And did you say you want me to
- 4 || spell it?
- 5 | REEVES: Yes, please.
- 6 | HOYER: Okay. Uh, it's J-e-s-s-i-c-a H-o-y-e-r.
- 7 | REEVES: Great. Thank you. Go ahead.
- 8 | EHRLICHMAN: Good morning. Thank you. Good morning, Jessica. You're at work,
- 9 || aren't you?
- 10 | HOYER: I am.
- 11 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. What do you do?
- 12 | HOYER: Um, I am a behavior case manager for middle school students.
- 13 | EHRLICHMAN: In the Sedro Woolley School District?
- 14 HOYER: Uh, in Mount Vernon school district.
- 15 EHRLICHMAN: Mount Vernon. Thank you. And, uh, you and your family reside on
- 16 | Grip Road, is that correct?
- 17 | HOYER: That is correct.
- 18 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Um, you have had, uh, you've raised, uh, fi-, you are
- 19 | raising five children, is that correct?
- 20 | HOYER: That would be correct, yes.
- 21 | EHRLICHMAN: And three of them still ride the school bus?
- 22 HOYER: Yes.
- 23 EHRLICHMAN: So, they're riding, uh, the school bus on Grip Road, both the
- 24 | mornings and the afternoons?

- HOYER: Yes, they, I've got three that ride the school bus two separate times.
- 3 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And, again, can you tell us roughly what, uh, what times of day that is? You don't have to be specific, but just generally?
- 5 HOYER: Um, so the morning bus would be anywhere between 6:30 and, um, 6 9:40, depending on the school schedule.
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And in the afternoon?
- 8 HOYER: And then the afternoon would be anywhere between 2:30 and 4:15, 9 again, depending on the school schedule.
- 10 EHRLICHMAN: Great. Thank you. Um, when, when your children are, um, dropped
 11 off, coming from the direction of Sedro Woolley in the afternoon, do they
 12 have to cross Grip Road to get home?
- 13 | HOYER: They do.
 - EHRLICHMAN: And, uh, you've expressed a concern, uh, can you share that with the Hearing Examiner about having large trucks, uh, traveling through that portion of the road when your kids are getting off the bus and crossing?

 HOYER: Yes. So, um, I have had to call the bus garage several times to ask them to move the location of the stop, by, like, inches. So that the bus can see the oncoming traffic. Because oncoming traffic for the buses comes barreling up the hill and cannot see that transportation is letting off my children, who then have to cross Grip Road. Um, and at times, it's been a close call when my children are crossing the road.
 - EHRLICHMAN: Thank you. Um, and is the problem that there's not a clear line of sight at these sharp turns on Grip Road?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1 HOYER: Um, the problem is that there's not a clear line of sight, um, and the problem is just because of it's just very close to a 90-degree angle 2 that even driving it for the last 11 years, I'm not sure how there could be a 3 line of sight, even when the County does take care of the, uh, bushes and 4 5 stuff. 6 EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh. 7 REEVES: And, I'm going to hop in one sec, Ms. Hoyer, were you able to listen to Ms. Aven's testimony just now? 8 Uh, off and on, but not a whole bunch because I've been... 9 HOYER: 10 REEVES: Just was seeing if you agreed with her testimony. But go ahead, 11 uh, Mr. Ehrlichman. EHRLICHMAN: Thank you. Um, Ms. Hoyer, are you aware of any incidents, um, 12 13 involving, uh, trucks or cars coming in opposite directions through that 14 corner? Oh, yeah. I mean, not necessarily specifically with school buses, 15 HOYER: but, yeah, we've, um, actually had several come slamming into the power pole 16 17 that is right there on that corner. I believe the County has had to replace 18 that power pole, I want to say, four or five times in the last ten years. 19 EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh. Um, uh, and have you, um, heard that the Applicant is 20 going to put flashing lights down at the gravel mine entrance road? 21 HOYER: No, that's news to me. 22 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. If they did put flashing lights down at their entrance 23 road, would that solve the line of sight problem that you're describing up at

25

24

the bus stop?

1 HOYER: I'm not sure how flashing lights would correct the fact that that road right there is 90 degrees and it, no, I'm not sure how it would correct 2 3 that situation. EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And are there several driveways that join, uh, at that 4 5 corner where Grip Road and Lillian Lane come together? 6 HOYER: Yes. There are two driveways and Lillian Lane that kind of all meet with Grip Road all at the same time. 7 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And I, I believe you told me that you had stood there on, 8 on your drive and watched vehicles, uh, screeching to a halt, uh, trying not 9 10 to, to, uh, engage your kids at that bus stop, is that correct? 11 HOYER: That would be correct. I've seen, uh, vehicles of all shapes and sizes, from motorcycles to large trucks, uh, screeching to a halt, um, to try 12 13 to avoid either hitting somebody or something, also to slow down because they 14 don't realize how sharp of a turn that is. EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh. 15 Um, I also have had a double dump truck go through where it shook 16 17 my house because they were going so fast. Um, yeah. 18 EHRLICHMAN: And, um, sorry. Just one moment here. And when the school buses, 19 um, come in the morning to pick up your children, would you, would you say 20 that, uh, they, at times, are full, three-quarters full, half full? How many 21 kids do you see populating that bus? 22 Um, from where me and my husband stand and look, it looks fairly

full and from reports of my, from my children, they say it's fairly full, the

criteria is two students per seat and sometimes they have to do three.

25

23

2.4

- 1 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And that, when you see that, they're, they're coming up
- 2 | from Grip Road to the west, like the Prairie Road direction, correct?
- 3 | HOYER: When they pick up in the morning? Yes.
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And as you testified there, there are multiple buses in the
- 5 | morning, is that correct?
- 6 HOYER: Yes. There are two routes. Depending on which school.
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: When the afternoon buses come, uh, to, to bring kids home, is
- 8 || Grip Road busier than at other times of the day, generally?
- 9 HOYER: Uh, the, the last bus of the afternoon, it is definitely busier.
- 10 | Um, it's about the same time that a lot of people are coming home from work
- 11 | or whatever they're coming home for.
- 12 | EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh.
- 13 | HOYER: Uh, but we have noticed an increase.
- 14 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Is there, um, anything that you would like to say to the
- 15 | Hearing Examiner about your, uh, concern about safety if the mining trucks
- 16 | are permitted to travel Grip Road during school bus hours?
- 17 | HOYER: My biggest concern is honestly that corner is absolutely
- 18 | horrendous and I worry about the safety of my children. I worry about the
- 19 | safety of other students that are on that bus. Um, you know, I work for a
- 20 | school system and so one of our key things is keeping students safe and I
- 21 | really believe in this case, that needs to be the issue that's at hand is how
- 22 do we keep those students on those school buses safe. So, I do worry about
- 23 | the increase amount of traffic that would come through Grip Road.
- 24 | EHRLICHMAN: Well, thank you for your testimony today, I appreciate it.
- 25 HOYER: Yeah.

- 1 | REEVES: Okay. Uh, Mr. Loring, any questions of this witness?
- 2 | LORING: No, Mr. Examiner, I don't have any questions, thank you.
- 3 | REEVES: Okay. Mr. D'Avignon?
- 4 | D'AVIGNON: Uh, no questions, Mr. Examiner.
- 5 | REEVES: And Mr. Lynn?
- 6 | LYNN: No questions.
- 7 | REEVES: Ms. Hoyer, thank you for taking the time. And, uh, we'll let you
- 8 | get back to work on a Friday.
- 9 HOYER: Thank you.
- 10 | REEVES: Okay. And you had one other witness, uh, before Mr. Tilghman, Mr.
- 11 | Ehrlichman? Uh...
- 12 | REEVES: No. Uh, I'd like to call Mr. Tilghman, if he's on the line there
- 13 | and ready to go?
- 14 | LYNN: Mr. Examiner, I, this is Bill Lynn...
- 15 | REEVES: Yeah.
- 16 | LYNN: I would like to note an objection. Uh, it was very clear, I wish
- 17 | we had transcripts, from Mr. Ehrlichman, that he did, had no intention of
- 18 | calling his own traffic witnesses. And for that reason, he was granted
- 19 considerable leeway in his questioning of the witnesses that he proposed to,
- 20 | to be able both cross examine and treat as his own witnesses so he could go
- 21 | beyond that. So, I just want to object to recalling this witness as when Mr.,
- 22 | um, Ehrlichman committed not to do that as part of the rules of procedure for
- 23 | this, uh, Hearing.
- 24 | EHRLICHMAN: May I speak to that, Mr. Examiner?

- REEVES: I'm going to no-, hold on, I'm going to note the objection. Uh,
 you know, I'm, I'm going to, rather than have us spend an hour going back and
 forth right now, I'm just going to allow it. Can you give me some sense of
 the scope of what you intend on, uh, asking this witness about and, and the
 timing, Mr. Ehrlichman?

 EHRLICHMAN: Absolutely, Mr. Examiner. I had intended to start out the, uh,
 questions with a brief preamble of doing just that, so thank you for that
- questions with a brief preamble of doing just that, so thank you for that opportunity. Uh, Ross Tilghman is being called in our case in chief as an expert witness...
 - REEVES: There's no case in chief. I just need to make that clear. But go ahead. I mean, your participation in this Hearing is essentially as if you were any other member of the public. I recognize you do represent specific members of the public. But, I, I don't want to belabor the point, but go ahead, Mr. Ehrlichman.
- 15 EHRLICHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. I, I know we've had this conversation 16 several times and I have submitted in writing, uh, my position on that.
- 17 | REEVES: Yeah.

11

12

13

- 18 | EHRLICHMAN: Um, if I may continue.
- 19 | REEVES: Go ahead. You can raise it in a different form later, if need be.
- 20 | EHRLICHMAN: Thank you. Um, we are presenting our case through witnesses,
- 21 | we're calling Mr. Tilghman as an expert witness. We're calling him as a
- 22 | traffic planner. We'll talk about his credentials and qualifications for
- 23 | that. We are not...
- 24 | REEVES: We don't need, we've already heard that. We are not going into
- 25 | his qualifications. But go ahead. I mean, they're already in the record, Mr.

- 1 | Ehrlichman. Am, am I missing som-, is there a reason we need 20 minutes of
- 2 | questions on who this witness is we already heard from for a day earlier in
- 3 | the Hearing? Mr. Ehrlichman.
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: What, what is the question?
- 5 | REEVES: Uh, I'm saying, is, is there some reason we need to hear again
- 6 who this witness is in terms of their qualifications and background? Mr.
- 7 | Loring went into detail about this earlier in the Hearing. I, I, we're going
- 8 | to not do that. But unless you have a reason you think we need to, you know,
- 9 reinvent the wheel in terms of re-, you know, more discussion on who this
- 10 person is. We, we know who this expert is.
- 11 | EHRLICHMAN: Mr. Examiner, I'm happy to answer any question that you have in
- 12 | this proceeding.
- 13 | REEVES: Okay. Never mind.
- 14 | EHRLICHMAN: But I...
- 15 | REEVES: [Inaudible.]
- 16 | EHRLICHMAN: I do want to note that as I'm speaking and I'm trying to lay the
- 17 | foundation, you ask a question often that is exactly what I'm about to say.
- 18 And it just seems like this would go quicker if I was given a little leeway
- 19 | and trust, if you will, that I'm going to explain why I think it's important
- 20 | to talk about his credentials, briefly. Um, he...
- 21 | REEVES: [Inaudible.]
- 22 | EHRLICHMAN: Mr. Tilghman did appear in the SEPA Appeal case, um, for a
- 23 different party. We are not calling him here for the same purposes. We don't
- 24 | have the same, uh, status, we don't have the same position in the case. Mr.
- 25 | Tilghman's testimony is going to go directly to our position in the case with

- 1 respect of the Special Use Permit. His qualifications were questioned by Mr. Lynn in a manner that I objected to, even though he wasn't my witness. And I 2 wanted to start out with a little bit about his credentials as a 3 transportation planner so we're clear about the very limited scope of the 4 5 testimony that we're going to elicit from this expert. Which is the question 6 of whether the transportation study, relied upon by the County here, contains 7 the elements of a safety analysis that is typically included in a transportation study. He's not testifying as an engineer on geometrics, he's 8 not testifying as a crash expert, but we're going to go... 9 10 REEVES: [Inaudible.] EHRLICHMAN: Into the question of what has he seen after reviewing all of the 11 reports and what is he not seeing. And then we're going to ... 12 13 REEVES: [Inaudible.] 14 EHRLICHMAN: Talk about what a safety analysis is because, Mr. Examiner, your 15 inquiry and decision in this case for the Special Use permit is fundamentally different than the decision you're making in the SEPA Appeal, as you know 16 17 better than anyone. It's...
- 18 | REEVES: Well...

22

23

24

25

- 19 EHRLICHMAN: Based on, on the Special Use Permit criteria and policies the 20 County has adopted which require safety analysis.
 - REEVES: Okay. So, with that, I'm happy to, you know, I understand Mr.

 Lynn's objection very well. Uh, I take judicial notice of knowing who Ross

 Tilghman is, uh, in terms of we've already, I think we may have even got a CV

 in the record, at this point. I don't think we need any questions about his

 knowledge and scope. I think it's been fully covered. Uh, so we'll dive right

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 27

Janet Williamson
janetwilliamson78@gmail.com
Mount Vernon, WA 98273
(360)708-5304

- 1 | in on the actual questions. But, uh, Mr. Tilghman, I'll get you sworn in. Do
- 2 | you swear or affirm to tell the truth in your second set of testimony in
- 3 | these Hearings?
- 4 | TILGHMAN: I do.
- 5 | REEVES: Okay. And we know how to spell your name. And so, with that, Mr.
- 6 | Ehrlichman, I think with no preamble, no outset, let's just dive right into
- 7 | the questions.
- 8 | EHRLICHMAN: That sounds good. And I just want to say, Mr. Examiner, that,
- 9 | that the reason I think that preface was important is because we're going to
- 10 | hear objections from Mr. Lynn on this very topic. So, thank you for
- 11 | acknowledging that he's qualified as an expert here already. Um, Mr.
- 12 | Tilghman, in the cases you've testified in front of Hearing Examiners, have
- 13 | you ever been disqualified as an expert?
- 14 | TILGHMAN: No, I have not.
- 15 | EHRLICHMAN: Roughly, just a rough number, how many cases have you appeared in
- 16 | before Hearing Examiners?
- 17 | TILGHMAN: Um, I think we're at four to five dozen.
- 18 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And are you, in your line of work, do you typically review
- 19 | traffic studies, uh, to determine what their contents have and don't have in
- 20 | terms of safety analysis?
- 21 | TILGHMAN: Um, I frequently review traffic studies and I note, uh, the
- 22 | extent of which they have addressed or not addressed, um, safety matters.
- 23 | EHRLICHMAN: And are you familiar with the, um, standard in the, uh, the Road
- 24 | Standards, the question that's asked there, as to, uh, traffic safety? You

1 | had those Road Standards in front of you and, and can you refer to the

2 | preamble sentence in Section 4.09?

3 | TILGHMAN: Of the, uh, Skagit County Road Standards? Yes. The, um, the first

4 paragraph reads, uh, for Type 2 Traffic Impact Analyses, intersections and

5 | roadway segments within the influenced area shall be evaluated to determine

if the probability of accidents will increase with the addition of project

7 | traffic.

6

9

13

14

15

16

19

20

8 EHRLICHMAN: And have you participated with a team of, uh, traffic experts in

the analysis of, uh, other, uh, traffic studies, uh, to make exactly that

10 | kind of determination?

11 | TILGHMAN: Well, I, I note whether, um, accident history is addressed at

12 | all. Um, sur-, I'm often surprised how often it has been omitted and to make

that point. And I'll also often find that, um, the extent to which accident

history is reported, the reporting was limited only to crashes at

intersection. Um, not on roadway segments and particularly in rural areas,

| um, areas where intersections are, um, further apart than in standard urban

17 | conditions on a block by block basis. Um, what happens, um, on the roadway

18 segment can be as important as what happens at intersections. I dealt with a

case in Jefferson County just a year ago where, in fact, in a rural area with

narrow roads, where the majority of crashes occurred between intersections,

21 | unrelated to intersections. So...

22 | EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh.

23 | TILGHMAN: Yes.

24 | EHRLICHMAN: We'll get into, and we'll get into that in a, a moment, thank you

25 | for that. Mr. Examiner, at this time, I'd like to introduce our, uh, Exhibits

- 1 | S11, 49 S11 and 49 S12, uh, S11 is the excerpts of the Skaqit County Code,
- 2 | um, portions of it that have those Special Use Permit criteria I referred to.
- 3 | S12 is the excerpt of the Road Standards that, uh, Mr. Tilghman just read.
- 4 | REEVES: Yeah. These are publicly available, so I assume no objection from
- 5 | the other parties?
- 6 | EHRLICHMAN: Very good. Thank you. Um, Mr. Tilghman, um, in our, uh, case
- 7 | here, uh, have you reviewed all of the traffic studies, uh, which are here,
- 8 | uh, presented as Exhibits 12-18?
- 9 | TILGHMAN: Um, yes, I have.
- 10 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And have you listened to, uh, the testimony of Gary Norris
- 11 || in this proceeding?
- 12 | TILGHMAN: Yes, I did hear the, um, audio, uh, recording of his testimony.
- 13 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And have you heard the testimony of Forrest Jones, the
- 14 | County's, uh, primary road, uh, engineer on this?
- 15 | TILGHMAN: I listened to that audio recording as well.
- 16 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Let me ask you, in any of those reports, um, beyond, other
- 17 | than the discussion on Level of Service, other than the discussion on road
- 18 | capacity, and other than the discussion on sight distance, do those studies
- 19 | contain any kind of safety analysis that would relate to, uh, school bus
- 20 | traffic on Grip Road?
- 21 | TILGHMAN: There's none related to school bus traffic.
- 22 | EHRLICHMAN: So, you didn't see any discussion or analysis concerning the
- 23 | school buses' use of Grip Road?
- 24 | TILGHMAN: None.

1 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Even if a Level 2 Analysis was not strictly required under the Road Standards, is it your opinion that a safety analysis discussing 2 potential conflicts with school buses is required otherwise by the County 3 Code or Policy? 4 5 TILGHMAN: Well, um, the location of schools should have been identified, 6 um, and that would naturally imply that, um, there must be school buses 7 serving those schools. Um, and I believe, it's, it's within the Road Standards, um, that a traffic, um, analysis should identify the location of 8 major public facilities, including schools. 9 10 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. So, let me unpack the question, I realize it was a, a 11 compound question, I'm sorry for that. Let me back up. The County, um, didn't require a Level 2 Traffic Impact Analysis, did they? 12 13 TILGHMAN: They did not. 14 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And the Applicant submitted in Exhibit 18, a more detailed traffic analysis, its last submittal in Exhibit 18, a more detailed traffic 15 analysis that includes some, included some discussion of Grip Road, correct? 16 17 TILGHMAN: Yes, it identified certain characteristics of Grip Road. 18 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And in the analysis in Exhibit 18, did the Applicant's 19 traffic engineer provide, um, an identification of the potential conflicts, 20 uh, between the, the new heavy trucks and the different types of vehicles 21 using Grip Road? 22 TILGHMAN: No, it did not. 23 EHRLICHMAN: And why is it important in your professional opinion, to do that

25

24

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 31

kind of analysis in this situation?

Janet Williamson
janetwilliamson78@gmail.com
Mount Vernon, WA 98273
(360)708-5304

TILGHMAN: Well, not all vehicles have the same operating characteristics or travel behavior. Um, large heavily loaded trucks such as these gravel trucks, the dump truck with a pup trailer, um, for one, they're about three times longer than an average passenger vehicle, um, they're immensely heavier, uh, fully loaded up to, uh, the State's legal limit of 105,000 pounds versus the, uh, maybe 4,000 or 5,000 pounds of a passenger vehicle. Um, they accelerate more slowly, they stop more slowly than a passenger vehicle. Um, they behave altogether differently. They can't negotiate corners as tightly as, um, passenger or even light-duty, uh, vehicles. So they're fundamentally different. So understanding the mix of traffic, um, factors into the accuracy of capacity calculations, as well as to safety considerations. EHRLICHMAN: Well, when you work on traffic studies with a traffic team, a team of traffic professionals, um, are you at times, uh, asked to identify those kinds of potential conflicts when you're, uh, with the project, the addition of the proposed project? Um, sure. If you're, um, if you have, um, a study area that has a TILGHMAN: lot of topography, that is, uh, the roads have steep grades and, um, you have information that there are or will be a higher proportion of heavy vehicles, you've got to take that into account, um, to see if the road is adequate to that volume and mix of traffic. So, yes. EHRLICHMAN: So, when you work on, uh, traffic studies and you're asked to identify potential conflicts between, uh, existing traffic and the traffic when you add the project, those are the kinds of elements that you look for, you mentioned, um, the topography, steep grades, the proportion of heavy

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 vehicles, those are the kinds of things that you identify or look for, uh, in trying to identify potential conflicts? 2 Yes. Absolutely. And especially if they're going to have to be 3 pulling out of, um, driveways, um, they take more time, traffic behaves 4 5 differently, you've got to factor that in. 6 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And had you worked on that type of traffic analysis, um, 7 more than, uh, more than ten times? Well, that would be a feature of virtually all analyses. They may 8 TILGHMAN: or may not include large proportions of heavy vehicles. But, um, many of the 9 10 traffic counts that are commissioned, that I commission, um, would then have vehicle classifications as part of that data that is reported so you know the 11 proportion of trucks. Um, I was, uh, working for a Seattle Parks, um, on a 12 13 project this past year and used classification data to understand, um, 14 traffic performance and safety considerations where pedestrians would have to cross the street to the new park. Um, it was an area that was, um, provided 15 truck access to, uh, warehouse, um, loading yards. So, it was obvious trucks 16 17 were a factor, that was, um, a key element in, in the analysis of, uh, how to 18 treat the street. 19 EHRLICHMAN: So, in this case, if you had been asked to, um, identify 20 potential conflicts as part of a safety analysis on Grip Road, would you have 21 tried to identify places where pedestrians have to cross the street? 22 Uh, yes. One wants to know where are there pedestrian facilities. 23 Um, if not, are there pedestrians walking along the street? Um, what, what

fea-, what characteristics, um, did they exhibit? And, yes, are there places

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 33

24

- 1 where they are known to cross the road and does that raise questions of whether it's an adequate and safe place to do that. 2
- EHRLICHMAN: And, for example, children getting off school buses?
- Yes. That is a, um, a very good instance of, um, understanding 4
- 5 pedestrian needs.
- 6 EHRLICHMAN: So, you testified that none of the studies and none of the
- 7 testimony by Mr. Norris or Mr. Jones, uh, mentioned school buses. Did they
- mention, uh, school bus stops? 8
- There's no mention of school buses, school bus routes, school bus 9 TILGHMAN:
- 10 stops, number of buses, there's simply no mention of school buses on Grip
- 11 Road.

- 12 EHRLICHMAN: And when they did their traffic count analysis in 2020, attached
- 13 to Exhibit 18, what time of year, uh, did they, were those counts taken in?
- 14 Was it during the school year?
- 15 TILGHMAN: Well, some of the counts were from August, um, would have been
- just prior to the school year. 16
- 17 EHRLICHMAN: But it wouldn't, that isn't a time when you expect to see the
- 18 full, uh, the full school bus traffic that is, that we've heard about this
- 19 morning, is it?
- 20 TILGHMAN: Uh, no, it is not.
- 21 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. So, let's go back to where we started here. Um, don't the
- 22 Comprehensive Plan Policies and the Standards for Mining Special Use Permits
- 23 require the Applicant to demonstrate, uh, protection of public safety?

24

1 LYNN: I, I would just want to note that we're getting more and more into leading questions and I think the wi-, the Attorneys can ask questions 2 that they know are framed properly. 3 It really wasn't an objection, I think it was just noting 4 5 something. I, I don't disagree, but go ahead, Mr. Ehrlichman. And further, I 6 think the Attorneys can make the legal arguments in closing briefs about 7 what's required by what. But I certainly understand this is a, a, an expert witness. But go ahead, Mr. Ehrlichman with your question. 8 EHRLICHMAN: Mr. Tilghman, you're familiar with the County's adopted policies 9 10 and code requiring the Applicant to demonstrate protection of public safety, are you not? 11 Yes, I am. 12 TILGHMAN: 13 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And whether or not a Level 2 Traffic Analysis was required, 14 wouldn't you expect to see analysis of traffic impacts that includes not only identification of potential conflicts, but also analysis of how those 15 conflicts might increase with the project? 16 Um, yes. The first thing is, it's, it's hard to evaluate, um, an 17 TILGHMAN: 18 issue if the issue hasn't been identified. So, one, it has to be identified. 19 And then it can be analyzed and evaluated. And, yes, there are County 20 policies that talk about insuring safety, um, of, uh, truck traffic and, um, 21 evaluating potential effects of truck traffic. 22 EHRLICHMAN: Is there anything in the Road Standards that says if you have 23 fewer than 50 peak hour trips, you don't have to, uh, do a safety analysis? TILGHMAN: Um, yeah, it doesn't read that way. There's no prohibition on 24

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 35

doing the safety analysis at any level.

25

Janet Williamson
janetwilliamson78@gmail.com
Mount Vernon, WA 98273
(360)708-5304

1 EHRLICHMAN: So, in order to meet, uh, the mining standards, is it your professional opinion that a safety analysis was required in this case, even 2 though no Level 2 Analysis was strictly required? 3 Well, according to the Comprehensive Plan Policy, um, to ensure 4 TILGHMAN: 5 safety, some analysis would have been necessary to determine whether safety 6 is, in fact, being ensured. 7 EHRLICHMAN: Was it enough that the Applicant's traffic engineer identified the crash history for the past five years on Grip Road? 8 That is but a first step and, again, as, as he noted, and as his 9 TILGHMAN: 10 report says and as I noted earlier, the crash history, um, pertained only to reported crashes at intersections. Um, it did not include any experience on 11 any other segment of the road. And there was no subsequent evaluation of any 12 13 other aspect of safety based on roadway width, lack of shoulders, vehicle 14 mix, school buses, any other aspect of traffic operations. EHRLICHMAN: But in other cases, I mean, just sort of generally, when a 15 traffic study finds a low crash history, um, oftentimes they don't include a, 16 17 a detailed conflicts analysis, correct? 18 TILGHMAN: That is frequently the case, yes. 19 EHRLICHMAN: So, in this case, where we had a low crash history, uh, why would 20 we have needed, uh, a, a conflicts analysis, a saf-, a safety analysis? 21 TILGHMAN: Well, it's important to understand that the crash history is a 22 historical record, it is not a predictive record. And in this particular 23 instance, when the mix of vehicles is going to change so dramatically because of the introduction of these heavy, uh, gravel hauling trucks, um, the crash 24

history, which would appear not to include, um, many, if any, uh, gravel

trucks, would not be an useful predictor of future, um, crash potential. So, it's this context, at I testified previously, the context of adding so many long, heavily laden vehicles, and even unladened these vehicle vastly larger and heavier than other, um, cars and light trucks on the road. It's that context of introducing dramatically different vehicle type on a winding, narrow substandard road, that raises the question of what will future crash potential be? And past crash history we, um, is not an adequate indicator of future experience in this situation. EHRLICHMAN: So, if I understand your testimony, in this case, the, the Applicant's engineer found adequate, um, capacity, in terms of the level of service, found a low crash history over a five-year period, but you are saying to meet the safety standard, you have to look further than that? TILGHMAN: Um, yes. Um, particularly in the context of substandard roads, roads where there are sight distance problems, roads where long vehicles cannot stay within their lanes without encroaching on the opposing lane or tracking off of the paved area. Um, but, yeah, that's sufficient grounds to do additional analysis of safety concerns. EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh. And what about the presence of, um, school buses with 20 to 30 kids? Is that a factor that also, uh, is grounds to go further? TILGHMAN: The fact that the school buses stop on Grip Road, make multiple stops and in fact turn around and then travel the other way, making additional stops, yes, in my opinion, that is ample ground for evaluating, um, the potential conflict between the heavily ladened gravel trucks and

25

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

school buses with ch-, loaded with kids.

- 1 | EHRLICHMAN: Let me check my notes for a moment, if I may pause for a moment.
- 2 | Have you seen the Applicant's, um, recent submittal which is a depiction of
- 3 | the truck/trailer combination? I'm going to refer you to, uh, the marked up
- 4 | Exhibit that I sent you, which, Mr. Examiner, we'd like to introduce as
- 5 | Exhibit 49 S13. It is the same exact Exhibit provided to us by Mr. Lynn, uh,
- 6 | yesterday and I have added notes about the, uh, lengths that are missing from
- 7 | that, uh, you do the math and come up with a, a truck length and a trailer
- 8 | length. I'd like to introduce that at this time.
- 9 | REEVES: Okay. And I have no objection. I certainly will not rely on your
- 10 | math, I'll check the math myself, but any, any objection from others?
- 11 | LYNN: No.
- 12 | REEVES: Okay. Uh, S13 is admitted.
- 13 EHRLICHMAN: Uh, so, Mr. Tilghman, if you can take a look at that Exhibit,
- 14 | when you talk about the length of the truck/trailer combinations that's being
- 15 | proposed here as being, being one of those elements that's grounds for
- 16 | additional safety study, uh, what is the length of the, the total
- 17 | truck/trailer combination? Is it 74, close to 75 feet long?
- 18 | TILGHMAN: Yes, it's one inch shy of 75 feet at 74 feet, 11 inches, end to
- 19 | end.
- 20 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And looking at my notation and others will check the math,
- 21 \parallel uh, what would the length of just the truck itself be?
- 22 | TILGHMAN: Well, just, um...
- 23 | EHRLICHMAN: You see the note below the truck?
- 24 | TILGHMAN: Yeah. Um, yeah. Um, at the moment, I'm not seeing the overall
- 25 dimension of just the truck, but that is, um...

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 38

- 1 | EHRLICHMAN: I wonder if it didn't show up in my, uh...
- 2 | TILGHMAN: Yeah. I, I mean, I...
- 3 | EHRLICHMAN: Exhibit. So, let's, let's, uh...
- 4 | TILGHMAN: Feet and inches here, um, it is...
- 5 | EHRLICHMAN: That's all right.
- 6 | TILGHMAN: Well, over 20, well over 20 feet, uh, just the bed, the dump bed
- 7 | alone is 18.5 feet. Um, and then there's the, um, basically the length of the
 - cab in front of that. Um, that dimension is not separately shown in this
- 9 | Exhibit.

- 10 | EHRLICHMAN: That's okay. I, apparently, my Exhibit that I transmitted did not
- 11 | have my notations on it. And I'll...
- 12 | TILGHMAN: Sir, I see on a second page, um, the cab is, is noted separately
- 13 | at 122.5 inches, uh, then there's a little gap to the bed and then the bed is
- 14 | 18.5 feet, so it's, um, it's roughly, um, twenty-, 28 to 29 feet long.
- 15 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Very good. And do you happen to recall, um, Mr. Norris'
- 16 | testimony of the, uh, dimensions of the truck that he used for his auto-turn
- 17 | analysis? I've got that if you don't recall.
- 18 | TILGHMAN: I don't remember the specific, uh, dimension, no.
- 19 EHRLICHMAN: Let's, uh, see if I can pull that up here. I believe he testified
- 20 | that the width of the truck was eight and a half feet. Um, Mr. Examiner, I'd
- 21 | like to introduce our, uh, Exhibit, sorry, wheels are falling off here
- 22 | momentarily. Well, strike that. I'll come back to that. Let's talk for a
- 23 | moment about the, um, the roadway on Grip Road. Uh, are you familiar with the
- 24 | table, B6, that is, uh, attached to Exhibit 18? We've offered it here as
- 25 | Exhibit 49 S14.

- 1 | TILGHMAN: Um...
- 2 | EHRLICHMAN: Is the, the, uh, rural major and minor collector roadway cross-
- 3 | section, uh, from the Rural Area Roadway Design Standards. It's Figure B6.
- 4 | TILGHMAN: Okay. Unfortunately, I don't have that one right in front of me.
- 5 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay.
- 6 | TILGHMAN: Um, but if you give me a moment, I may be able to get to it.
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: No, that's all right. Let's, let's move on. We want to keep, keep
- 8 | going here. So, I don't want to stall on that, we can come back to that in
- 9 | another, uh, portion here. Let's, uh, let's try to wrap this up with a
- 10 | summary here of, of what I've heard you say. Um, you have experience working
- 11 | on traffic impact analysis, you, uh, have been asked in different, uh,
- 12 | projects to, uh, help with the safety analysis. You know how to identify
- 13 potential conflicts, what elements to look for, correct?
- 14 | TILGHMAN: Yes, that's true.
- 15 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And in this case, even if a Level 2 Analysis wasn't
- 16 | required, do you find the, uh, safety analysis method that's described in
- 17 | that section 4.09, uh, to be similar to what you have described as your
- 18 | method?
- 19 TILGHMAN: Well, yeah. Looking at identifying conflict points.
- 20 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay.
- 21 | TILGHMAN: As a key, um, key matter. Um, and, um, yes. Uh, conflict points,
- 22 | frequently, frequency of conflicts, excuse me and severity of conflicts. Um,
- 23 and considering the, uh, both the volume and the, uh, mix of vehicles present
- 24 | is, um, very, um, I think adequate way to, uh, to begin that analysis, yes.

- 1 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And just taking the case of the school buses alone, um,
- 2 | your, you have, in your testimony, identified the conflict points, correct?
- 3 | The places where the buses stop and turn around?
- 4 | TILGHMAN: Well, those are key conflict points, uh, relative to the school
- 5 | buses, yes.
- 6 | EHRLICHMAN: And then also just travel on the road around the sharp turns,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 | TILGHMAN: Yes.
- 9 | EHRLICHMAN: So, you've identified the conflict points and now in your
- 10 | testimony you have said that you've reviewed all of the traffic studies, so
- 11 | you understand the frequency and severity of conflicts based on expected
- 12 | traffic volumes, correct?
- 13 | TILGHMAN: Well, not based on the, um, the traffic study because there
- 14 wasn't any information about school buses in the traffic studies so we
- 15 | couldn't evaluate that, um, frequency of...
- 16 | EHRLICHMAN: Well, but the, that's...
- 17 | TILGHMAN: On the truck volumes, but you have to make your own, draw your
- 18 own conclusions because they weren't available from the, uh, Traffic
- 19 | Analysis.
- 20 | EHRLICHMAN: Right. I understand. And my point was that you've looked at the
- 21 | traffic volumes that they're projecting based on those, their studies,
- 22 | correct?
- 23 | TILGHMAN: Yes.
- 24 | EHRLICHMAN: So, I'm walking through this formula that they have, it says a
- 25 | conflict analysis should determine the number of conflict points, we just

- 1 | covered that, the frequency of conflicts and severity of conflicts based on
- 2 | expected traffic volumes. Well, you seen the bus schedule, correct?
- 3 | TILGHMAN: Yes.
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: Is the potential for frequent conflicts there?
- 5 | TILGHMAN: Um, yes. And, and the additional knowledge that, um, we got, you
- 6 | know, four, four different bus routes each day that currently use Grip Road.
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh.
- 8 | TILGHMAN: Important extra bit of information that we've heard from your
- 9 | earlier witnesses was, was at least two of those buses turn around and come
- 10 | back, travel the length of Grip Road again. So it's as if there were two
- 11 | extra routes. Um, so there's plenty of opportunity for, um, buses and gravel
- 12 | trucks to encounter one another on Grip Road, both in the morning...
- 13 | EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh.
- 14 | TILGHMAN: And the afternoon.
- 15 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And would you consider that if a conflict occurred between
- 16 | a gravel truck trailer and a school bus, due to a crossover, failed brakes,
- 17 | some other driver error, would you consider that conflict to be a severe,
- 18 | highly severe conflict, moderate or low in severity?
- 19 TILGHMAN: Well, if a 105,000 pound, uh, truck, um, were to collide in some
- 20 | fashion with the school bus, yes, I would think the, uh, the severity of the
- 21 | degree of collision would be quite severe and the risk of injury, uh, quite
- 22 | high to passengers in the bus.
- 23 | EHRLICHMAN: And is the severity of that potential conflict higher when the
- 24 | bus is loaded with school children as opposed to three or four school
- 25 | children?

- 1 | TILGHMAN: Well, um, the severity in terms of the number of people who could
- 2 | potentially be injured, yes, that would go up as there are more passengers in
- 3 | the bus. The severity of the individual injuries may not be different, but
- 4 | you've to more people who could be injured.
- 5 | EHRLICHMAN: And that's a factor in, in determining severity?
- 6 | TILGHMAN: Yes.
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: You heard the testimony this morning about, uh, the school buses
- 8 | having, being three-quarters full in the morning?
- 9 | TILGHMAN: I heard that.
- 10 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. So, again, would you consider the potential, if, if a
- 11 | conflict occurred with a school bus and a gravel truck from this project,
- 12 | would you consider the potential severity high, medium or low?
- 13 | TILGHMAN: Well, I would say high.
- 14 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And have you reviewed the expected traffic volumes from
- 15 | this project?
- 16 | TILGHMAN: Yes.
- 17 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And do those traffic volumes include up to 30 truck trailer
- 18 | combinations per hour? That would be 15 loaded, 15 unloaded?
- 19 | TILGHMAN: Uh, they do.
- 20 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And have you, uh, reviewed the mix of traffic that will be
- 21 | on Grip Road when those gravel trucks are operating based on the testimony
- 22 | you've heard in this case?
- 23 | TILGHMAN: Well, the testimony indicates that the mix of traffic includes
- 24 | school buses, as well as, um, other users on Grip Road. So, to that extent,
- 25 || yes.

- 1 | EHRLICHMAN: And did you hear the testimony that said in addition to school
- 2 | buses, there are school children traveling by private car frequently on Grip
- 3 || Road?
- 4 | TILGHMAN: Yes, I heard that, too.
- 5 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. So, we've just gone through the sentence in 4.09 that says
- 6 | Conflict Analysis should determine the number of conflict points, frequency
- 7 | of conflicts and severity of conflicts based on expected traffic volumes and
- 8 | mix of traffic. Now, your point earlier to me was that, well, there wasn't
- 9 | anything in the traffic reports that did that, is that correct?
- 10 | TILGHMAN: That's correct. Yes.
- 11 | EHRLICHMAN: And so, it's hard to evaluate, uh, whether the Applicant has met
- 12 | their burden of, of showing, protecting public safety, uh, without that type
- 13 | of analysis in print?
- 14 | LYNN: Uh, I'm going to object.
- 15 | EHRLICHMAN: Let me rephrase it.
- 16 | REEVES: Bill, Bill Lynn, you're muted. Mr. Ehrlichman is going to
- 17 | withdraw and rephrase the question.
- 18 | EHRLICHMAN: So, um, your point was that, uh, it isn't, there isn't that type
- 19 | of analysis in the traffic studies Exhibits 12 through 18, correct?
- 20 | TILGHMAN: That's right.
- 21 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Finally, in that paragraph it says similar to the manner in
- 22 | which accidents are grouped by type of collision, traffic conflicts are
- 23 | arranged by type of maneuver. So, if there had been a traffic analysis or you
- 24 | were performing one, um, uh, a conflict analysis, excuse me, um, what would

1 that add to the equations to look at arranging the traffic conflicts by type of maneuver? 2 Well, conflict points arise at intersections, whether it's a 3 TILGHMAN: driveway or a regular, uh, two public streets meeting one another. Um, where 4 5 each different traffic movement straight through, turns, left turns, right 6 turns, um, you identify how many potential points of interference or 7 collision there could be, um, and then particularly in the case, say of school buses, where they have to stop in the road and that is, um, a frequent 8 and regular occurrence, those are additional conflict points. And then in 9 10 this case, where, where the bus turns around, it, it slows, it turns into a driveway then re-enters the road heading in the other direction. That's 11 another set of conflict points with, um, traffic on the road. Um, so, yes, 12 13 it's the, it's the vehicle maneuvers that often determine, um, what type of 14 conflict points there are and where they're located. 15 EHRLICHMAN: Very good. And the whole point of that, doing that type of analysis, which does, well, let me ask you this, so in that last sentence we 16 17 just read and you commented on just now, the, the conflicts analysis should 18 include a discussion specific to the school bus man-, type of maneuver, is

20 | TILGHMAN: Yes. That's why the school buses are an issue in the first place.

21 They have to stop in the road, um, multiple times. And then in this case, it

actually turns off the road and then back on in the other direction. Yes.

23 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And so we have no discussion of that in the traffic

24 | studies, correct?

that correct?

19

22

25

TILGHMAN: That's correct.

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 45

1 EHRLICHMAN: We have traffic counts that were performed in August, uh, not during the main school year, bus traffic period, correct? 2 Correct. I clarify, some intersection counts were done in 3 TILGHMAN: December, but other roadway counts were done in August and, yeah, would have 4 5 missed the school year and school bus traffic. 6 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. And then as we'll continue down here in this example as a 7 type of safety study described in 4.09, it says a field study should be completed and the revo-, results evaluated to identify the types of 8 conflicts, roadway intersection, characteristics that contribute to the 9 10 conflicts and what alternative treatments should be considered to correct the problem. I'm going to assume, but I'll ask the question, if the, the studies 11 12 and the testimony, uh, didn't include the conflicts analysis, they certainly 13 didn't include a discussion of what alternative treatments should be 14 considered to correct identified problems, correct? 15 TILGHMAN: That's right. As I said earlier, um, it's hard to evaluate and resolve a problem that hasn't been identified in the first place. 16 EHRLICHMAN: That makes perfect sense. I think the Examiner coined a term 17 18 common sense, common senseality [sic] or something like that, the other day. 19 Um, and you've identified, uh, the types of problems that may arise from 20 this, uh, proposal in our discussion this morning, haven't you? 21 TILGHMAN: Yes, I believe so. 22 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. You're not trying to perform an, an alternatives analysis 23 or, or describe the mitigation, uh, here as a, as a traffic expert, are you? 24

- 1 | TILGHMAN: Um, no, I'm not. I'm here, I'm simply identifying what I believe
- 2 | there are, um, problems that have been, um, unidentified so far and
- 3 | unaddressed.
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. We have the testimony of Forrest Jones, who is a traffic
- 5 | engineer, uh, did you listen to his testimony where he agreed that the
- 6 | problem of conflicts with school buses would be eliminated if the trucks were
- 7 | not, uh, allowed to operate during the times the school buses were on the
- 8 || road?
- 9 | TILGHMAN: Yes, I heard that.
- 10 | EHRLICHMAN: Do you agree with him?
- 11 | TILGHMAN: Well, yes. By definition, if the trucks aren't there, there's no
- 12 | conflict between gravel trucks and school buses.
- 13 | EHRLICHMAN: Do you recall in the early traffic memoranda submitted by the
- 14 | Applicant that they actually proposed operations that would not put trucks on
- 15 | the road during those school bus hours?
- 16 | TILGHMAN: Uh, yes, I do.
- 17 | EHRLICHMAN: Didn't they propose operating only between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00
- 18 | p.m.?
- 19 | TILGHMAN: Yes, that's right.
- 20 | EHRLICHMAN: So, the Applicant actually proposed, uh, without even doing,
- 21 | presenting a conflicts analysis, they actually proposed something, whether it
- 22 | was unwitting or, or not, that Forrest Jones testified would eliminate the
- 23 | conflict you've identified today, is that correct?
- 24 | TILGHMAN: Um, yes, that's the, uh, the logic of that, right.

1 EHRLICHMAN: Uh-huh. Is there anything further that you would like to add in your testimony today that I have not covered? 2 Um, well, I would note in terms of, um, we've talked a lot about 3 the school buses, um, in particularly Grip Road west of the mine site, but we 4 5 heard earlier testimony on, I heard your earlier witnesses who live, um, who 6 talked about the school bus stops near the 90 degree curves to the east of 7 the mine site. Um, and the sight distance, um, is one of their concerns. It's too limited for oncoming traffic to see kids crossing the street after 8 getting off the bus. Um, that, um, is an issue that I recall, the traffic 9 10 study did not discuss conditions east of Grip Road, not its, not its 11 alignment, not the sight distance issues, not the use of traffic, not the railroad crossing, just no discussion about that. Um... 12 13 EHRLICHMAN: When you say east of Grip Road, you mean east of the mine 14 entrance? East on Grip Road, east of the mine entrance, yes. 15 TILGHMAN: EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt, go ahead. 16 And I would note that, uh, one of the peer reviews, I believe by 17 TILGHMAN: 18 HDR, um, noted that, um, there was similar sight distance deficiencies on 19 that section of Grip Road as there were on the mine entrance and at the 20 Grip/Prairie intersection. And that those, um, deficiencies on east side, 21 east end of Grip Road, should be mitigated as well. Um, but I've not seen any follow up to, uh, to that recommendation. And since that, that's a, a general 22 23 safety concern for all traffic, but, um, from what we've heard, it's, uh, it's a specific concern, uh, for the operation of school buses. 24

- 1 EHRLICHMAN: Was there any explanation in any of the traffic memoranda, in
- 2 | Exhibits 12 through 18, as to why they didn't analyze sight distance problems
- 3 | identified by HDR east of the mine entrance?
- 4 | TILGHMAN: Um, no explanation that I saw.
- 5 | EHRLICHMAN: But didn't the trip distribution shown in Exhibit 18, assign at
- 6 | least some of the truck trips to go east of the mine entrance on Grip Road?
- 7 | TILGHMAN: Uh, yes. I believe it, uh, assigned 5% of trips, uh, to and from
- 8 | the east on Grip Road.
- 9 | EHRLICHMAN: So, from that we can conclude that the Applicant knew that some
- 10 of the gravel trucks would travel in that direction?
- 11 | TILGHMAN: Uh, yes, it's part of their proposal, evidently.
- 12 | EHRLICHMAN: Do you know whether any of their, um, well, let me rephrase that.
- 13 \parallel Do you happen to recall in Gary Norris' testimony on September 2nd, that he
- 14 | said that the segment of Grip Road, segments of Grip Road to the east were
- 15 | not part of the site area that was the subject of their traffic analysis?
- 16 | TILGHMAN: Um, I don't know that I recall that specifically. Um, like I
- 17 | said, they didn't do any analysis in that area, whether it was, for whatever
- 18 | reason, I'm unclear about the reason.
- 19 EHRLICHMAN: Um, okay. Isn't this question we've been discussing this morning,
- 20 one of the most important reasons to do a traffic study?
- 21 | TILGHMAN: Um, well, absolutely. And I think the, um, I think the
- 22 | introductory line of the, um, uh, the road standards, the government studies
- 23 | is all about safety, um, yes, ultimately, it's the sufficiency of
- 24 | infrastructure from a capacity point, but, yes, the primary concern is and
- 25 has to be safety.

- 1 | EHRLICHMAN: Isn't it enough to do the trip capacity analysis, um, to
- 2 determine safety?
- 3 | TILGHMAN: Um, I...
- 4 | EHRLICHMAN: Let me repra-, let me strike that and rephrase that question.
- 5 | REEVES: Didn't we have a half hour of testimony on him thinking it wasn't
- 6 | enough. I, I'm just wondering where we're going here.
- 7 | EHRLICHMAN: Oh, did you catch that? I, I probably don't even...
- 8 | REEVES: Well, I've been listening.
- 9 | EHRLICHMAN: This whole time, Mr. Ehrlichman. I, I, this is the second time
- 10 I've listened closely to Mr. Tilghman. So...
- 11 | EHRLICHMAN: Yeah. I hope that's helpful.
- 12 | REEVES: Well, go ahead with the, uh, question, a specific question.
- 13 | EHRLICHMAN: We, we've heard the, um, traffic engineer for the Applicant
- 14 | testify that the trip capacity analysis is a safety analysis and therefore he
- 15 | conducted a safety analysis on Grip Road, do you agree or disagree with that
- 16 | statement?
- 17 | TILGHMAN: Um, I generally disagree. It is true to the extent that, um,
- 18 | capacity is, or use of capacity is perhaps one factor, um, in the safety
- 19 | analysis. Um, but that alone is, um, not a full comprehensive safety
- 20 | analysis.
- 21 | EHRLICHMAN: All right. And, and you also know that they did look at some
- 22 | sight distance safety issues, correct?
- 23 | TILGHMAN: That's right.
- 24 | EHRLICHMAN: But is it your testimony that they missed the other elements of a
- 25 | safety analysis? Isn't that the gist of it?

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 50

1 TILGHMAN: Yes. EHRLICHMAN: And, again, I want to close by asking the question, isn't the 2 safety of the school children on Grip Road, shouldn't that be foremost in the 3 decision-maker's mind when applying the County's Policies and Standards for a 4 5 Mining Special Use Permit? 6 Um, well, yes. Safety is paramount. Again, the, um, the first 7 purpose listed for the, uh, purpose of the TIA is to determine safety impacts a particular development will have. Um, so, yeah, safety is paramount. 8 EHRLICHMAN: And if, even if the, uh, trip count isn't high, the severity of 9 10 the conflicts could still be considered high? 11 TILGHMAN: Yes. Yes. The potential for injuries should there be any kind of collision between a loaded gravel truck and a school bus, or other vehicle, 12 13 um, is very high. 14 EHRLICHMAN: So, the significance of the possible, uh, impact is high in your 15 opinion? Yes. One wants to do a proper analysis of it, but, um, again, 16 that difference between heavily loaded, long, large vehicles and vehicles 17 18 loaded with children and, um, other vehicles, um, raises grave concerns. 19 EHRLICHMAN: Let me ask you a hypothetical, if the Hearing Examiner were to approve this project as currently conditioned, and Miles Sand and Gravel were 20 21 to open up another gravel mine within, within a couple of miles here that 22 also utilized Grip Road, would there be a different type of safety analysis 23 for this proposal or would you expect there would be, um, something else than

25

24

what you've seen?

1 TILGHMAN: Um, well, given that we haven't seen a safety analysis, I would love to see a proper safety analysis, uh, whether it's one, two or more, uh, 2 mining operations. There simply needs to be a safety analysis. 3 EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Um, I asked the wrong question, sorry. Let's assume they 4 5 did a safety analysis or the Hearing Examiner finds that the analysis they 6 did is adequate to meet the safety test for this project. That's the 7 hypothetical. If the second mine began operating using Grip Road, would the impacts, the accumulative impacts of both projects be different than the 8 impacts of this project alone? 9 10 REEVES: And... 11 EHRLICHMAN: It's a hypothetical. LYNN: I'm just going to object. There's already been evidence that 12 13 that's not the case. It's completely hypothetical and therefore not probative 14 of anything. I'll sustain the objection. Let's move on, Mr. Ehrlichman, you 15 REEVES: have other questions? 16 EHRLICHMAN: Mr. Examiner, I'm going to, uh, ask you to reserve, um, a slide 17 18 in the, uh, presentation of Exhibits here for me to present you with the 19 parcel ownership that I was not able to, uh, pull together in time for this 20 morning. I can get it to you by this afternoon, that shows that Miles owns, uh, a gravel mine that is serviced by Grip Road, within a couple of miles of 21 22 this mine. I want that evidence in the record. My question to this witness is 23 the obvious, which is...

25

24

REEVES:

Right.

- 1 | EHRLICHMAN: Wouldn't the traffic analysis, looking at two mines here, uh,
- 2 | result in a, in a more, uh, uh, a higher severity of, of safety concern than
- 3 | just looking at this one proposal standing alone. That's my question...
- 4 | REEVES: Sure.
- 5 | EHRLICHMAN: To this witness.
- 6 REEVES: And I, and I understand the objection. I sustained it. We're
- 7 | going to move forward. If you get that evidence, we can move on. I do stress
- 8 | I'm not a complete idiot. I, I understand that if you have more things
- 9 there's greater impacts. So, I, I, you know, let's, let's move forward with
- 10 | specifics rather than hypotheticals.
- 11 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Um, Mr. Tilghman, are you aware that Miles owns the gravel
- 12 | mine, uh, off of Grip Road in addition to this one?
- 13 | TILGHMAN: Um, so I have heard.
- 14 | EHRLICHMAN: Okay. Uh, would a safety analysis for this mine, uh, reasonably
- 15 | include a cumulative impacts analysis?
- 16 | TILGHMAN: Um, if there's a, um, known proposal, um, for, um, any other
- 17 | operation, um, accu-, a cumulative analysis should take into account all
- 18 known and expected, um, additions to traffic. Um, and one would then have to
- 19 | understand the vehicle mix, the conditions impose and that should be, um,
- 20 considered in, uh, the safety analysis.
- 21 | EHRLICHMAN: Mr. Examiner, this is not, uh, now a hypothetical question. Uh,
- 22 | this is a fact that Miles owns the second gravel mine and has the mineral
- 23 | resource overlay and in this preceding has argued they have a right to, uh,
- 24 | operate a mine any time there's a mineral resource overlay...
- 25 | REEVES: [Inaudible.]

1	EHRLICHMAN: Uh, with a, let me, let me finish, please, with a, with a type of
2	traffic analysis we've seen in this case. My argument to you in, uh, briefing
3	would be obviously, uh, that with that evidence, um, there, there is a need
4	to remand this back for additional study that includes an a cumulative
5	impacts analysis. Thank you. I have nothing further for Mr. Tilghman.
6	REEVES: Okay. Uh, it's almost 10:45. I'd suggest a short restroom break.
7	And then we'll come back and do cross-examination and I'll start with Mr.
8	Loring, if he has questions and then, uh, Mr. D'Avignon and Mr. Lynn. So,
9	we'll come back, why don't we say shortly after 10:50, just to, you know,
10	10:53, give us ten minutes for the restroom. We'll be back shortly. Thank
11	you, everybody.
12	EHRLICHMAN: Thank you.
13	LORING: Thank you.
14	EHRLICHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Tilghman.
15	[The tape ends.]
16	The undersigned being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says:
17	I, Janet Williamson, declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Washington
18	that the following statements are true and correct: I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party

n rty to this action. That on May 10th, 2024, I transcribed a Permit Hearing, conducted by Andrew Reeves, that took place on 9/23/22 at 9:00 a.m., regarding the above-captioned matter.

I certify and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the aforementioned transcript is true and correct to the best of my abilities.

Signed at Mount Vernon, Washington, this 10^{th} , May of 2024.

Janet Williamson

Janet Williamson

PERMIT HEARING 9-23-22 9:00 AM CAUSE NO: PL16-0097, PL16-0098, PL22-0142 Page 54

19

20

21

22

23

24

25